



Agents, Consumers of Service Oriented Architectures

Adam Barker - a.d.barker@ed.ac.uk Centre for Intelligent Systems and their Applications (CISA) School of Informatics and The Royal Observatory University of Edinburgh, UK

Overview of Talk

- Motivation
- Scientific Workflow
- Agency
 - Definition of an Agent
 - MultiAgent Systems
 - Agent Coordination
- Web Service Composition
- SOA
- Coordination Work
 - Framework Overview
- Application to Astronomy
 - □ Application to Iterative Scenarios
 - Application to Reactive Scenarios
 - □ High Level Composition
- Conclusions

Motivation

Problem Statement

- □ Most astronomy is currently not processed in Real-Time
- Scientists compose virtual experiments to enact distributed workflows

Motivation

- Application of Agent Technology can help to provide Real-Time analysis and processing of this data
- Offering Flexible, Reactive Composition in a constantly changing environment
- □ Current scientific workflow systems do not address this problem
 - A gap we are working to fill

Scientific Workflow

- Most workflow engines focus on Business Process Modelling
- Scientific Workflow has an extra set of requirements:
 - □ Rapid prototyping of experiments
 - User Interaction with the scientist
 - □ Reliability and Fault Tolerant execution
 - Transparent access to resources
 - □ Repeatability, Smart re-runs and parameterisation
 - Provenance information crucial
 - Presentation of the results
- Control Flow vs. Data Flow
 - myGrid Bioinformatics in-silico experiment builder
 - □ **Kepler** Open source Scientific workflow engine
 - □ ICENI London e-Science centre programming model for the Grid

An Agent

- An agent is a computer system that is capable of independent (autonomous) action on behalf of its user or owner (figuring out what needs to be done to satisfy its design objectives, rather than constantly being told) – Michael Wooldridge
- An agent usually takes sensory input from the environment (which is assumed to be non-deterministic) and produces as output actions that affect it. The interaction is usually an ongoing non-terminating one
- Agents tend to be (reactive, pro-active, social)

MultiAgent Systems

- Popular slogan in the Agents community, 'there is no such thing as a single agent system'
- A system that consists of a number of agents, which interact with one-another
- In the most general case, agents will be acting on behalf of users with different goals and motivations
- To successfully interact, they will require the ability to cooperate, coordinate, and negotiate with each other, much as people do
- Typical example:
 - Auction house Laws of trade predefined

Agent Coordination

Dialogue Protocols define

- Collection of conventions that allow cooperation between agents in a MultiAgent System within a certain domain
- □ A recipe for communication!
- Defines 'if and when' an agent can communicate
- Order and kind of messages relating to a certain domain

Dialogue Protocols do not define

- Low level issues, e.g. communication method
- High level issues, how the agent rationalises



Web Service Composition

Service Oriented Architectures

- Distributed computing platform targeted at the web
- □ Define a standard way to perform program to program interaction
- Can tie together any OS, application, data store, programming language, device etc.
- □ Defined using: XML, SOAP, WSDL etc.

Currently many methods of composing Web Services

- Workflow Languages: Business Process Execution Language (BPEL), Web Services Flow Language (WSFL), Web Services Choreography Language (WSCI)
- Web Service Frameworks: WS Coordination, Web Services Coordination Application Framework (WS-CAF)

Development of Agent Technology

P2P workflow, Reactive Agents, High-level composition

Agent Coordination Web Services



Multi-Agent Protocol Language (MAP)

 $P \in \text{Protocol} ::= n(r\{\mathcal{M}\})^+$ (Scene) $M \in Method$::= method($\phi^{(k)}$) = op(Method) $op \in \text{Operation} ::= \alpha$ (Action) (Sequence) op_1 then op_2 (Choice) op_1 or op_2 (Parallel) op_1 par op_2 waitfor op_1 timeout op_2 (Iteration) $invoke(\phi^{(k)})$ (Recursion) $\alpha \in Action$ (No Action) $::=\epsilon$ $\phi^k = p(\phi^l) \text{ fault } \phi^m$ (Procedure) $\rho(\phi^{(k)}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{agent}(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ (Send) $\rho(\phi^{(k)}) \leq \operatorname{agent}(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ (Receive) $\phi \in \text{Term}$::= |a| r |c| v



Simple Protocol Example





AstroGrid: Static Scenario

UK based e-Science project

- □ Middleware development
- Providing uniform access to Astronomical distributed data, software tools and instrumentation
- AstroGrid forms the UK contributed to the Global Virtual Observatory
- □ Live science use-cases, data and instrumentation
- Workflow Set and application domain
- □ Brightest Cluster Galaxies



Agent Based Implementation



```
%extraction{
1
2
     method() =
3
       waitfor
        (extract($qlist) <= agent($scientist, %scientist)</pre>
         then invoke(eloop, $qlist, $scientist)
         then invoke())
       timeout (invoke())
     method(eloop, $qlist, $scientist) =
       (($head, $tail) = extractNext($qlist)
         then ($q, $qtype) = makeQuery($head)
         then query($q) => agent(_, $qtype)
         then invoke(ewait)
         then invoke(eloop, $tail, $scientist))
       or invoke(eend, $scientist)
     method(ewait) =
       waitfor
         ((result($res) <= agent($name, $qtype)
           then store($name, $res) => agent(_, %myspace)
           then invoke(ewait))
         or (noresult() <= agent($name, $qtype)
             then invoke(ewait))
       timeout (e)
     method(eend, $scientist)
24
       $resulturl = publishResults()
25
       result($resulturl) => agent($scientist, %scientist)}
```

AstroGrid: Future Scenario

- Agents have the ability to work in a constantly changing dynamic environment
 - React to changing circumstances
 - Behaviour which is not pre-defined
 - Truly open systems
 - Peer to Peer Architecture

eSTAR

- □ Transient Object Detection
- Time Sensitive Data
- □ Follow up observations



Higher Level Composition Tools



- High Level language for use by Scientists
- Scientists can compose Agent Coordination Web Services into higher level tasks
- Representing a description of an experiment
- Abstract Data-Flow Language
- Set of mappings
 - Outport -> Inport
 - Interaction points
- Captures the requirements of Scientific Workflow

Conclusions

- Internet and Grid Systems are filled with passive objects (services)
- Agency paradigm offers a way of programming autonomous, social and active components which consume this SOA

In a nut-shell agents add:

- □ Decentralised Peer to Peer Workflow
- □ Flexible and Reactive behaviour Making decisions at run-time
- □ A degree of autonomy
- □ Offer more than 'Just Coordination' as seen in many workflow tools
- Framework provides a way of layering the principles and well understood concepts of agency to the composition problem
- AstroGrid provides the live Science test bed
- Work in Progress!

Questions...

References:

- AstroGrid
 - http://www.astrogrid.org/
- □ Agency
 - http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/
- - http://java.sun.com/developer/technicalArticles/WebServices/ soa2/
- □ Me:
 - UK google Adam Barker