

## Quantum Mechanics 3 2001/2002

## Solution set 5

(1)

(a) 
$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \wedge \mathbf{p}$$
, so 
$$L_z = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right),$$

and the same for other components, cyclically permuting x, y, z. The first commutator is just hard work:

$$L_x L_y = -\hbar^2 \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)$$
$$= -\hbar^2 \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + yz \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} - yx \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - z^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} + zx \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial z} \right)$$

Now do the same for  $L_y L_x$  and take the difference.

- (b) We need to consider  $L_zL_\pm$ , which is  $L_\pm L_z [L_\pm, L_z]$ . The required commutator is readily proved from the basic commutators:  $[L_\pm, L_z] = \mp \hbar L_\pm$ . Thus,  $L_zL_\pm|\ell,m\rangle = (L_\pm L_z \pm \hbar L_\pm)|\ell,m\rangle$ . Since  $|\ell,m\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $L_z$ , this gives  $L_zL_\pm|\ell,m\rangle = (m\pm 1)\hbar L_\pm|\ell,m\rangle$ , so the state  $L_\pm|\ell,m\rangle$  is also an eigenstate of L-z, but its eigenvalue is  $(m\pm 1)\hbar$  this is what we mean by raising or lowering.
- (c)  $L_x = (L_+ + L_-)/2$ . If  $\psi$  is the m eigenstate of  $L_z$ ,  $L_x$  produces a mixture of the m-1 and m+1 states, which are orthogonal to the m state. Hence,  $\langle L_x \rangle = 0$ . Similarly,

$$L_x^2 = \left(\frac{L_+ + L_-}{2}\right)^2 = (L_+^2 + L_-^2 + L_+L_- + L_-L_+)/4.$$

The expectation of the first two terms vanishes, leaving  $\langle L_x^2 \rangle = \langle L_+L_- + L_-L_+ \rangle/4$ . Now,  $L_+L_- + L_-L_+ = 2(L_x^2 + L_y^2) = 2(L^2 - L_z^2)$ , from the definition of  $L_\pm$ . Hence,  $\langle L_x^2 \rangle = 2\langle (L^2 - L_z^2) \rangle/4 = \hbar^(\ell(\ell+1) - m^2)/2$ . The last step can also be argued by symmetry:  $\langle (L^2 - L_z^2) \rangle = \langle L_x^2 \rangle + \langle L_y^2 \rangle$ , but we expect  $\langle L_x^2 \rangle = \langle L_y^2 \rangle$ .

(d)  $\langle (\delta A)^2 \rangle$  means  $\langle (A - \langle A \rangle)^2 \rangle$ , which is  $\langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2$ . Here,  $\langle (\delta L_x)^2 \rangle = \langle L_x^2 \rangle$ , and similarly for  $L_y$  (from the previous part). Again using the previous part, we get

$$\langle (\delta L_x)^2 \rangle \langle (\delta L_y)^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^4}{4} [\ell(\ell+1) - m^2]^2.$$

Since the maximum values of m is  $\ell$ , this is  $\langle (\delta L_x)^2 \rangle \langle (\delta L_y)^2 \rangle \geq \hbar^4 \ell^2 / 4$ .

Now consider the general uncertainty relation:

$$\langle (\delta A)^2 \rangle \langle (\delta B)^2 \rangle \ge -\langle [A, B] \rangle^2 /4.$$

we have  $\langle [L_x, L_y] \rangle = i\hbar \langle L_z \rangle = im\hbar^2$ , so the rhs of the uncertainty relation is  $\hbar^4 m^2/4$ . However, we already proved that the lhs was  $\geq \hbar^2 \ell^4/4$ , and  $\ell \geq m$ , so this is consistent with the uncertainty relation (which becomes an equality when  $m = \ell$ ).

(2) Using  $L^2 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2$ , write out the commutator with total angular momentum squared:

$$\begin{split} [L^2, L_x] &= [L_x^2, L_x] + [L_y^2, L_x] + [L_z^2, L_x] \\ &= [L_y^2, L_x] + [L_z^2, L_x] \\ &= L_y L_y L_x - L_x L_y L_y + L_z L_z L_x - L_x L_z L_z \end{split}$$

Now use the  $[L_x, L_y] = i\hbar L_z$  commutator to convert the triple terms to 'sandwiches' plus pairs:  $L_y L_y L_x = L_y L_x L_y - i\hbar L_y L_z$  etc. The sandwiches cancel in pairs, and the four double terms combine to give zero. Once you've proved it for  $L_x$ , the other two follow just by symmetry – the choice of x axis is arbitrary.

(3) This mainly needs stamina in changing variables to spherical polars:

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$$
$$y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$$
$$z = r \cos \theta.$$

Start with the chain rule for partial derivatives: the partial derivative with respect to  $\phi$  is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

which gives  $L_z = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ .

The same reasoning for  $\theta$  gives

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = \cos\theta\cos\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \cos\theta\sin\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \sin\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Together with the relation for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ , we can eliminate  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ , which is what we need in order to involve  $L_x = -i\hbar(y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ . The following combination can be checked to work:

$$L_x/i\hbar = \sin\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \cot\theta \cos\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}.$$

The same approach gives the equation for  $L_y$ :

$$L_y/i\hbar = -\cos\phi \,\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \cot\theta \,\sin\phi \,\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}.$$

(remember  $\cot = 1/\tan$ ).

The expression for  $L^2$  involves keeping track of the cross terms in which the angular derivatives in  $L_x$  and  $L_y$  differentiate the operators themselves. This gives an extra  $\cot\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$  term, so

$$\frac{L^2}{(i\hbar)^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

Finally,  $\nabla^2$  in spherical polars is

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2},$$

so we can see that the angular terms are proportional to  $L^2$ .