# CAN RT MODELS + DUST EMISSION SEDS UNLOCK THE SECRETS OF DUST IN ELLIPTICAL GALAXIES?



# N.K. Agius<sup>1</sup>

G. Natale<sup>1</sup>, C.C. Popescu<sup>1</sup> A.E. Sansom<sup>1</sup>, R. Tuffs<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Jeremiah Horrocks Institute, University of Central Lancashire, <sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut Für Kernphysik

MODEL:



## **OVERVIEW**

Although dust disks are known to form part of spiral galaxies, the geometrical distribution of dust in elliptical galaxies has yet to be well determined. This is because the Far-Infrared dust continuum emission in ellipticals is relatively faint (Kaneda *et al.*, 2008). However the Herschel Space Observatory has provided new, higher resolution data which has improved our ability to detect dust emission in these systems.

In particular, it is unclear whether the dust is in a rotationally supported interstellar medium within a disk, or whether the dust is distributed throughout a hot interstellar medium. Using a selection of UV-bright elliptical galaxies from Agius *et al.* (2013; A13), all of which contain significant dust masses, we fit dust emission SED templates to a combination of WISE MIR, Herschel FIR and sub-mm data. We applied the same SED fitting technique to a control set of Virgo ellipticals with Herschel data, presented in di Serego Aligheri *et al.* (2013).

We performed radiative transfer (RT) calculations for a spheroid with a range of Sérsic indices containing dust assembled in a disk, based on models in Popescu *et al.* (2011). We compared the radiation field energy density inferred from the SED fits with those predicted for the RT models. This procedure is performed when the solution is in the optically thin regime.

We are using the above analysis to infer characteristic radii for the distribution of dust in these galaxies, which will help to obtain insights about the geometrical distribution and the origin of dust.

G00106410

G00619121

**Elliptical Galaxies** 

G00047500

G00298980



Optical Properties of Dust Grains: Weingartner & Draine (2001) Parameters of the Model:  $\tau_{B} = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0$  n = 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0 $\downarrow$  constrained by observations

$$_{rad,mod,scaled} = U_{rad,mod} \times \frac{L_{gal}/R_{eff,gal}^2}{L_{mod}/R_{eff,mod}^2}$$

**SED Fits:** Diffuse + Photo-Dissociation Region Dust EmissionTemplates Natale *et al.* (2010), Fischera & Dopita (2008) Four Components: UV emission from young stars + 3 blackbody curves





 $X_{UV}$  = amplitude of the UV radiation field energy density  $X_{col}$  = amplitude of the optical part of the radiation field divided by  $X_{UV}$  $M_d$  = derived mass of galaxy's dust distribution

$$J_{rad,gal} = \chi_{col} \times \chi_{UV} \times ISRF_{Mathis}$$

ISRF<sub>Mathis</sub> = interstellar radiation field as defined by Mathis *et al.* (1983)



### VIRGO VCC1619



0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2000 0.5 1.0 1.5 2000 0.5 1.0 1.5 2000 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 Radius (kpc)

The scaled model energy density ( $U_{rad,mod}$ ) distributions are shown for a galaxy from the A13 sample (left) and the Virgo sample (right) as a function of radius. Black dashed lines show the radius where the  $U_{rad,mod}$  intersect with the estimated galaxy energy density ( $U_{rad,gal}$ ), with upper and lower limits represented by red dotted lines. From left to right,  $U_{rad,mod}$  is calculated with increasing face-on B-band opacity ( $\tau_B$ ). Q represents the fraction of the galaxy's effective radius which is occupied by the dust disk.

#### RESULTS

- 1. Virgo ellipticals are found to have dust disk sizes filling 50-100% of the effective radii of their spheroidal component.
- 2. Virgo elliptical results are consistent with the dust distribution being optically thin.
- 3. A13 ellipticals are not consistent with being optically thin.
- 4. A13 ellipticals need an optically thick solution to appreciate their dust distributions.
- 5. These results are consistent with A13 ellipticals forming part of the elliptical population which has not yet finished evolving (see Agius *et al.*, 2013).

#### REFERENCES

Agius *et al.*, 2013, MNRAS, 431, 1929 di Serego Alighieri *et al.*, 2013, A&A, 552, A8 Fischera & Dopita, 2008, ApJS, 176, 164 Kaneda *et al.*, 2008, PASJ, 60, 467 Mathis *et al.*,1983, A&A, 128, 212 Natale *et al.*, 2010, ApJ, 725, 955 Popescu *et al.*, 2011, A&A, 527, 109 Weingartner & Draine, 2001, ApJ, 548, 296

# Are U/LIRGS evolving into Elliptical Galaxies? Probing the Build-up of Nuclear Stellar Cusps

S. Haan, L. Armus, J. A. Surace, V. Charmandaris, A.S. Evans, D.B. Sanders, and the GOALS team

**ASTRONOMY & SPACE SCIENCE** www.csiro.au

Nuclear stellar cusps are defined as central excess light component in the stellar light profiles of galaxies and are suggested to be stellar relics of intense compact starbursts in the central 100–500 pc region of gas-rich major mergers. Here we probe for the first time the build-up of nuclear cusps during the actual starburst phase for a complete sample of Luminous Infrared Galaxy systems and compare their nuclear properties to elliptical galaxies as tracer of their evolutionary linkage.

Evidence for a nuclear cusp build-up has been found so far in a significant fraction of early-type galaxies and merger remnants (e.g; Lauer et al. 1995; Faber et al. 1997; Rothberg & Joseph 2004; Cote et al. 2007; Hopkins et al. 2008; Kormendy et al. 2009). Follow-up studies have shown a strong dependence on the optical luminosity of the host galaxy, leading to a dichotomy between core (flat profile towards the center) and cusp galaxies (characterized by a steep inner slope), with core galaxies dominating at the highest luminosities and cusp galaxies at the lowest. However, until recently there has been little observational evidence on the build-up of these cusps during the actual merger-induced starburst stage. While previous ground-based observations have revealed that a significant fraction of merger remnants seems to exhibit radial profiles similar to elliptical galaxies based on their large- scale appearance, we are now able to link for the first time the stellar light distribution and merger-induced starburst in the central kpc for a large sample of nearby active starburst mergers.

### THE HST GOALS SAMPLE

We have imaged the 85 most luminous U/LIRGs (with 11.4<log[LIR/L<sub>o</sub>]<12.5) of the GOALS sample (Armus et al. 2009) in the near-IR (1.6µm) using the Hubble Space Telescope (NICMOS/WFC3). Cusp properties are derived via 2-dimensional fitting (GALFIT) of the nuclear stellar light and have been combined with mid-IR diagnostics for AGN/starburst characterization (using Spitzer and Herschel spectroscopy).





Figure 3: Comparison of cusp and core properties in nearby (U)LIRGs (blue) to those of present day core and cusp dominated ellipticals (red). Left: The Nuker gamma parameter as a function of the absolute H-band magnitude (2MASS) of the host galaxy. Right: Histogram of the Nuker gamma parameter for (U)LIRGs and early type galaxies (sample from Lauer et al. 2007) within the same total luminosity range (- 25.5<M<sub>H</sub><-22.5). Late stage mergers are indicated as filled histogram (right panel) and filled circles (left panel).



• Nuclear stellar cusps are resolved in 76% of (U)LIRGs while the remaining 24% have flat nuclear profiles (core galaxies) with nuclear slopes < 0.3. The cusp strength and luminosity increase with far-IR luminosity (excluding AGN), confirming models that

Figure 1: Example of a strong cusp galaxy: The nuclear NIR properties of the late stage merger NGC 1614.

# Results



recent starburst activity is associated with the build-up of stellar cusps. An average increase in cusp luminosity  $\Delta L_{cusp} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$  is found as a function of far-IR luminosity and merger stage, which corresponds to a build-up of stellar mass  $\Delta M_{cusp}$  of (7 ± 3.5) × 10<sup>9</sup> M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>

• The nuclear stellar surface brightness profiles of local (U)LIRGs are very different from those of present day's early-type galaxies with comparable masses. Our comparison between (U)LIRGs and a large sample of local elliptical galaxies (within the same host galaxy mass range) reveals (a) a significant larger cusp/core ratio in (U)LIRGs (3.2:1) than in ellipticals (0.7:1), and (b) (U)LIRGs do not follow the cusp to core dependency of ellipticals as a function of host galaxy mass ( $M_{H}$ - $\gamma$  diagram) and clearly represent a distinct population. Moreover, gas-rich late stage mergers show no indications of a cusp destruction by BH-binary scouring during the coalescence of their nuclei. These results suggest that the progenitors of present day's massive ellipticals must have either expelled most of their gas during a brief episode in cosmic time and destroyed their cusps in subsequent gas-poor re-merger events, or that star formation was quickly shut down before gas could efficiently accumulate in the very center to build up a cusp (e.g. via feedback from supernovae, hot stars, and AGN due to higher gas fractions at high-z).



Figure 2: Nuclear NIR properties as function of merger stage (left, 1: early to 5: late stage) and the H-band cusp luminosity as function of the total IR luminosity (right). The contribution from AGN light to the cusp H-band luminosity and to the total IR luminosity is subtracted (Haan et al. 2013). Both results show a significant increase in cusp luminosity. • With increasing cusp strength and luminosity along the merger process, the nuclear structure becomes more compact due to rapid gas infall, which might be a possible explanation for the dramatic increase in bulge compactness in highredshift studies (e.g. CANDELS).

• Evidence for ultra compact nuclear starbursts is found in ~13% of LIRGs, which have a strong unresolved central light component but no significant evidence of an AGN.

#### REFERENCES

#### Sebastian Haan sebastian.haan@csiro.au www.atnf.csiro.au/people/Sebastian.Haan

#### arXiv:1306.4337\_S. Haan, L. Armus, J.A. Surace, V. Charmandaris, A.S. Evans, T. Diaz-Santos, J.L. Melbourne, J.M. Mazzarella, J.H. Howell, S. Stierwalt, D.C. Kim, T. Vavilkin, D.B. Sanders, A. Petric, E.J. Murphy, R. Braun, C.R. Bridge, H. Inami The Build-Up of Nuclear Stellar Cusps in Extreme Starburst Galaxies and Major Mergers, MNRAS 2013, in press

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Support for this work was provided through grant HST GO 11235.01-A by NASA from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555.





# PS#29: Declining Star Formation in Galaxies – NAM 2013 (St Andrews, Scotland) DECONSTRUCTING MASSIVE GALAXY FORMATION



Ignacio Ferreras<sup>1a</sup>, Ignacio Trujillo<sup>2</sup>, Esther Mármol-Queraltó<sup>3</sup>, Pablo Pérez-González<sup>4</sup>, Antonio Cava<sup>4</sup> & SHARDS team

(1) Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, (2) Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, (3) Royal Observatory Edinburgh, (4) Universidad Complutense de Madrid.

<sup>a</sup>E-mail: i.ferreras@ucl.ac.uk

## Abstract

We probe the merging channel of massive galaxies ( $\gtrsim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ ) at z $\lesssim$ 1 by studying a sample extracted from the deep (AB<26.5) SHARDS dataset, that provides medium band photometry, effectively yielding low-resolution R $\sim$ 50 spectra. By selecting massive galaxies with nearby satellites, we focus on the progenitors of the merging systems that allegedly contribute to the size growth over the past 8 Gyr of cosmic time [5]. A strong correlation is found between the age difference of central and satellite galaxy and mass ratio, so that the 1:100 merger progenitors feature age differences  $\sim$ 4 Gyr. A simple argument comparing the mass in centrals and satellites within a "merging timescale" suggests a growth factor between  $z\sim$ 1 and 0 of  $\Delta M_{1\rightarrow0}/M_{z=1} \sim 2.0 \pm 0.3$ , without any significant evolution in that redshift range.

## **•** THE TWO-PHASE EVOLUTION

Massive galaxies appear to have a formation process involving two phases [6], an early stage of intense, *in-situ* star formation, creating the core of the massive galaxy – i.e. building the compact massive galaxies we see at  $z\gtrsim 1-2$  – followed by a second phase that involves a gradual build-up from mergers (minor/major, wet/dry). The homogeneity of the populations of massive galaxies at  $z\sim 0$  (e.g., [3]) suggests that wet mergers cannot play a significant role at  $z\lesssim 1$ . However, it is not clear whether the main growth channel is in the form of major or minor mergers.

## **B** MODELLING STELLAR POPULATIONS

medium-band photomet-The ric information provides a lowresolution (R $\sim$ 50) spectral energy distribution that can be used to compare with a large grid of population synthesis models. We build a large library, comprising  $\sim 5 imes 10^5$  models that include simple stellar populations,  $\tau$ -models, and constant star formation histories. We also include a reddening parameter following a standard extinction law, and add an additional free variable to track the emission lines (Ferreras et al., in preparation). The typical mass-age relation is retrieved in our general sample of SHARDS sources.



## **O SHARDS** MASSIVE GALAXIES

We assembled a sample of massive galaxies from SHARDS, the Survey for High-z Absorption Red and Dead Sources [7], a deep (26.5 AB) survey towards the GOODS-N field, covering a 130 arcmin<sup>2</sup> area with 24 medium band ( $\Delta\lambda \sim$  170 Å) filters. In this poster, we only use data from the available 16 filters, covering the 6100–9000Å spectral range. These data allow us to obtain accurate photometric redshifts  $(\Delta z/(1+z) \lesssim 0.01)$ . Out of a preliminary sample of 254 massive galaxies ( $M_s > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ ), we search for satellites within a projected distance of  $\Delta R < 100 \, \text{kpc}$ and at the same redshift – within uncertainties. Note from the figure that out to z < 1.3, our sample is complete down to a mass ratio of 1:10, and possibly down to 1:100 if we consider that low mass galaxies are dominated by young stellar

populations.



Fig. 1: Redshift vs. stellar mass diagram of SHARDS galaxies. A galaxy is classified as massive if the stellar mass is  $M_s > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$  (arrow and thick horizontal line). The horizontal dashed lines show the limit for 1:10 and 1:100 merger progenitors. The blue solid line shows a  $K_{AB} = 24$  simple stellar population with age 100 Myr, whereas the red dashed line corresponds to a population formed at  $z_{\rm FOR} = 3$  (both at solar metallicity, Chabrier IMF, using the models of [1]).

Fig. 2: Mass-age relationship split into quarters in redshift. The red circles give the median and within bins in stellar mass, chosen at a fixed number of galaxies per bin. The errors show the standard deviation within each bin.

## 6 MASS GROWTH

We can also determine the evolution of the mass growth in massive galaxies over the  $z \leq 1$  redshift interval. If we take redshift slices as "snapshots" covering a typical merging timescale (e.g. [2]), we can use the mass in centrals and satellites within each snapshot, to determine the amount of stellar mass incorporated into massive galaxies, which should give a good estimate of the growth rate, given that no significant star formation is expected in massive galaxies at low redshift. The figure shown for three typical merging timescales,  $\Delta T$  – suggest that the growth rate is roughly constant at z≲1:

which translates into a total growth rate between z=1 and 0 of



## AGES OF CENTRAL/SATELLITE PAIRS

Fig. 3 shows the age difference between central and satellite galaxy with respect to the mass ratio. As expected, minor mergers imply a large age difference. Hence, if the bulk of the *second growth phase* proceeds via many minor mergers at a large mass ratio, one would expect a significant spread in the distribution of ages in massive galaxies, producing a noticeable negative radial age gradient, at odds with the observations



 $\frac{1}{M} \frac{\Delta M}{\Delta t} \sim 0.11 \pm 0.04 \, \mathrm{Gyr}^{-1}$ 



Fig. 3: Age difference of central (red circles: filled for E/S0 or unresolved; open for disc or irregular) and satellite galaxies (blue crosses). The top panels show the individual ages, the bottom panels show the age difference between central and satellite. The sample is split at the median value of the redshift distribution (left/right).

## References

[1]	Bruzual, G., Charlot, S., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000
[2]	Conselice, C. J., 2006, ApJ, 638, 686
[3]	de la Rosa, I. G, et al. 2011, MNRAS, 418, L74
[4]	La Barbera, F., et al., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 2300
[5]	Mármol-Queraltó E., et al., 2012, MNRAS, 422, 2187
[6]	Oser, L., Naab, T., Ostriker, J. P., Johansson, P. H., 2012, ApJ, 744, 63
[7]	Pérez-González, P. G., et al., 2013, ApJ, 762, 46

# Studying the high-mass end of the mass function at z~1.7 in GOODS-S C. Mancini<sup>\*1</sup>, A. Renzini<sup>1</sup>, E.Daddi<sup>2</sup> <sup>\*</sup>chiara.mancini@oapd.inaf.it, <sup>1</sup>INAF-OAPD,Padova, (I), <sup>2</sup>Sap-CEA,Saclay(F)

Abstract

Mass and environment are the main 'drivers' of the quenching of star formation (e.g., Peng et al. 2010,2011). While the environmental effects become stronger at z < 1, due to the progressive growth of the structures with cosmic epochs, mass-quenching of star formation seems to have a dominant role at higher redshift, i.e., at 1 < z < 2, when the majority of the most massive ( $M^*>10^{11}$  MO) Early-Type galaxies have formed. Hence, studying the structural and physical properties of the most massive galaxies at  $z\sim 1-2$  can provide crucial insight on the processes that suppressed the star-formation at the earlier epochs. In the recent years, many such studies have been carried out on large samples of galaxies (e.g., Wuyts et al. 2011; Newman et al. 2013, etc.), contributing to improve our knowledge of galaxy evolution using a statistical approach. Instead, in this work we focus on a relatively small sample of galaxies at the high-mass end of the mass-function ( $M^*>10^{11}$  MO) at redshift 1.4<z<2 (i.e., z~1.7) in GOODS-S and examine the selected galaxies case by case, so to investigate the morphological differentiation as a function of the specific starformation rate (sSFR). This has allowed us to unveil peculiar objects that would go unnoticed by a global statistical approach.

# Data, Sample selection and Analysis



A sample of 44 galaxies was culled in GOODS-S starting from the Kvega $<$ 22 selected	Total M>10 <sup>11</sup> MO	44
nulti-band catalog of Daddi et al. (2007) and applying the following criteria:	Main Sequence	13
• high-z BzK colors (cf., Daddi et al. 2004) (Fig.1)	Low-sSFR	6
<ul> <li>z-spec/phot=1.4-2</li> <li>Stellar masses M*&gt;10<sup>11</sup> MO (derived from the K-band magnitude, as in Daddi et</li> </ul>	No-MIPS	12
al. 2004)	Lense candidates	5
The full data-set available in GOODS-S (i.e, HST-CANDELS, Spitzer, Herschel	MIPS-blended	8
PACS/SPIRE, Chandra/XMM, GMASS/GOODS spectra, etc.) was exploited to characterize the sample galaxies.	as exploited to <b>Table 1.</b> Different sub-categories of objects in our total massive sample	

### **STAR-FORMATION RATE**

The galaxy SFR(IR+UV) was derived from the total LIR obtained by fitting the IR SED (Daddi et al. in prep), and the UV luminosity (L1500) uncorrected for dust extinction, inferred from the Bmagnitude (Kennicutt et al. 1998). For objects undetected in 🖵 PACS/SPIRE the total LIR was derived from the MIPS/24 $\mu$ m flux according to the empirical relation derived by Elbaz et al. (2011).  $\frac{\overline{g}}{2}$  <sup>100</sup> The Mass-SFR relation for galaxies at  $z \sim 1.7$  (Bethermin et al. 2012) is shown in Fig.2. The sample can be split in three main



### MORPHOLOGY

One-component Galfit (Peng et al. 2010) surface-brightness fitting was performed to discriminate between disks (Sersic index, n < 2.5) and spheroids (n > 2.5) on the Mass-SFR diagram. The results are shown in **Fig.** 2 and Fig 3.

In Fig. 2 The  $M^* > 10^{11}$  MO sample is colourcoded as explained in the legend. It is evident that moving from the top to the bottom part of the high-mass end of the MS the Sersic index increases indicating a bulgelike structure more and more pronounced, as found by Wuyts et al. (2011) and by Salmi et al. (2012) at z~1.

FR(II sub-samples based on the galaxy sSFR (i.e., SFR/M\*) as reported in Table 1 :

1) Galaxies on the 'Main sequence' (MS);

2) Galaxies with low sSFR, i.e. more than 4X below the MS (the red line in Fig.2), with sSFR  $< 0.3 \text{ Gy}^{-1}$ ;

3) MIPS/24µm undetected galaxies (upper limits in Fig.2).

# Morphological differentiation on the MS at $z\sim1.7$ for M\* $\geq10^{11}$ MO

**Fig. 3**.

1) Main-Sequence sub-sample (#3236, z = 1.729)

RGB (B,z,H) Galfit-model Galfit-residual IRAC MIPS

 2) Low-sSFR sub-sample (SFR<1/4 x MS) (#856, z = 1.76)</li> RGB (B,z,H) Galfit-model Galfit-residual MIPS IRAC

In Fig.3, The Left Panels show three galaxies from each of the three different sub-samples on the e Mass-SFR diagram: 1) Main Sequence, 2)Low sSFR, and 3) 24µm undetected galaxies. All the postage stamps are 10 arcsec in size. The subsample of 'Low sSFR galaxies' includes many objects with Early-Type morphology, that seems to be in a transient phase between 'Main-Sequence' star-forming galaxies and passive 'no-MIPS' spheroids, such as the object 2) shown in this figure. Our sample also includes a certain number of objects affected by contamination from close neighbours in the mid- and far-IR (i.e., MIPS-blended in Table 1), and also some peculiar objects, such as some lense candidates (highlighted with big black open circles in Fig.2) surrounded by a blue ring (i.e., Bottom Panels, first row). It remains to be established whether some of these objects are instead faceon systems composed by a prominent red bulge and a blue clumpy disk (i.e., the example shown in the **Bottom Panels, second row**).

RGB (B,z,H) Galfit-model Galfit-residual









• 3) No-MIPS sub-sample (MIPS/24 $\mu$ m<3 $\sigma$ ) (#848, z = 1.609)

### RGB (B,z,H) Galfit-model Galfit-residual







• #8569, z<sub>nbot</sub>=1.47,Low-sSFR sub-sample

#### RGB (B,z,H) Galfit-model Galfit-residual IRAC







#### STAR FORMATION VERSUS MINOR MERGERS THE STELLAR MASS GROWTH OF MASSIVE GALAXIES SINCE Z=3

#### Jamie Ownsworth

ppxjo1@nottingham.ac.uk



## Following the merger sequence Morphological study of the evolution of post-merging galaxies

Milena Pawlik (mp84@st-andrews.ac.uk),

Vivienne Wild (University of St Andrews), Peter H. Johansson (University of Helsinki), Jakob Walcher (AIP, Potsdam)

## **POSTER SUMMARY**

- This project's subject are post-mergers - galaxies that appear to have undergone a recent merger;

- The main aim is to develop a method suitable for studying the evolution of post-mergers by quantifying their morphology;

- The first step is to explore literature methods that have been used for galaxy morphology measurements by applying them to samples of post-merger candidates, and to compare the results with outcomes of hydrodynamic simulations;

- Very weak trends in the observational data have been found so far as opposed to stronger trends emerging from the simulations.

- Refinement of the analysis is necessary in order to decide whether these methods are sufficient to study the evolution of post-mergers;

- Ultimately, a new method may be needed, the development of which would then become the long-term goal of this project.







University

St Andrews

# Properties of dusty galaxies at high and low redshifts

Kate Rowlands<sup>1</sup>

With: Loretta Dunne, Simon Dye, Alfonso Aragon-Salamanca, Elisabete da Cunha, Dan Smith, Steve Maddox, Haley Gomez + the Herschel ATLAS consortium

Email kerowlands@googlemail.com

## Introduction

High redshift submillimetre galaxies (SMGs) are the most actively star-forming and dusty galaxies in the Universe. Constraining the properties of these galaxies is important for understanding the evolution of massive galaxies and galaxy evolution models in general. Using panchromatic data from the UV to the submillimetre, we explore the physical properties of a sample of  $\sim 250 \mu m$  rest-frame selected galaxies at high redshift, and compare them to dusty galaxies at low redshift selected in a similar way, to investigate the differences in the dusty galaxy populations over cosmic time.

## Sample ~250µm rest-frame selected

**SMG sample (0.48**<z<5.31)– from Magnelli et al. (2012)

- 850µm+PACS/SPIRE (100-500µm) detection.
- Robust multiwavelength counterpart (radio and/or  $24\mu m$ ).

The University of

lottingham.

• Spectroscopic redshift + optical-NIR photometry.





## **SED fitting - MAGPHYS**

- Energy balance model da Cunha et al. (2008).
- Bayesian approach statistical constraints on physical parameters:
  - Compare observed galaxy SED to large library of models which encompass all parameter combinations.
  - Build marginalised likelihood distribution of physical parameters compute  $\chi^2$ goodness of fit for each model – generate probability density function (PDF).

Bruzual and Charlot (2007) stellar

• Matched in stellar mass to SMG sample.

2011) + good SED fit (Smith et al. 2012)

## **Properties of ~250µm rest-frame selected galaxies**

- SMG  $< M_{star} > = 6.0 \times 10^{10} M_{sun}$ .
- SMG  $\langle SFR \rangle = 250 M_{sun}/yr$ , factor of 80 higher than SFR of low-z H-ATLAS galaxies.
- Lack of highly star-forming galaxies at low-z not a volume effect, H-ATLAS Phase 1 comoving volume comparable to SMG survey volume (1x10<sup>8</sup> Mpc <sup>-3</sup>).
- SMGs have higher  $M_{dust}$  ( $\langle M_{dust} \rangle = 1.1 \times 10^9 M_{sun}$ ) than low-z H-ATLAS galaxies  $(< M_{dust} > = 1.4 \times 10^8 M_{sun}).$
- Larger space density of galaxies with highest dust masses at high-z, galaxies would have been detected in H-ATLAS should they exist at low-z.





- Majority of dust luminosity in SMGs originates from starforming regions.
- At lower redshifts dust luminosity is dominated by diffuse ISM.



#### **Stacked SEDs** For stacking method see Smith et al. 2012 100.0 -ATLAS z<0.35 n=12457 galaxies H-ATLAS mass matched n=375 galaxies n=25 aalaxies Gs z>0.5 ---- Error on median of stacked SED 10.0 <del>|</del> $\mathbf{C}$ g(M<sub>A</sub> SMG stacked

Consistent with strong evolution in dust content of massive, dusty galaxies with redshift, in agreement with Dunne and Eales 2001, Dunne et al. 2003, Eales et al. 2010, Dunne et al .2011, Bourne et al. 2012.



## higher gas masses than low-z dusty galaxies (assuming constant metallicity).

relative to low-z dusty galaxies  $\rightarrow$  higher star-formation efficiency. Consistent with CO studies of SMGs (Greve et al. 2005, Genzel et al. 2010).

consistent with upper end of low-z distribution, but SSFR of SMGs much higher than low-z galaxies.



## The nature of star formation in dusty galaxies

Low-z stacked

~7% of low-z galaxies classified as starbursts

0.1

- Population of massive, dusty galaxies below the main sequence; these may be shutting down their star formation, but still harbour a substantial amount of dust (**Rowlands et al. 2012**).
- ~40% of the SMGs lie above main sequence at a given redshift (but be aware selection effects). SMG population is a mix of massive secularly evolving galaxies and starbursts (may be merger-driven).



#### 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 log(1+z)

### Conclusions

- SMGs: significantly higher SFRs, dust masses, effective dust temperatures and obscuration than z<0.5 dusty galaxies selected to have a similar stellar mass. Differences between the high and low-z dusty galaxies may be driven by an increase in gas fraction at high-z.
- Majority of dust luminosity in SMGs originates from star-forming regions, at low-z dust luminosity is dominated by diffuse ISM.
- ~40% of SMGs lie above main sequence of star formation at a given redshift. SMG population is a mix of massive secularly evolving galaxies and starbursts.
- **References:** Bruzual G and Charlot S., 2007, in prep
  - Bourne N., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 3027
  - Charlot S., Fall S. M., 2000, ApJ, 539, 718
  - da Cunha E., Charlot S., Elbaz D., 2008, MNRAS, 388, 1595
  - Dunne L. and Eales S., 2001, MNRAS, 327, 697
  - Dunne L., Eales S., and Edmunds M., 2003, MNRAS, 341, 589
  - Eales S., et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L23
  - Genzel R., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 407, 2091
- Greve T. R., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 359, 1165
- Hill D. T., et al., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 765
- Magnelli et al. 2012, A&A, 538, A155
- Rodighiero G., et al., 2011, ApJL, 739, L40
- Rowlands et al. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 2545
- Smith D. J. B., et al., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 857
- Smith D. J. B., et al., 2012, MNRAS, 427, 703
- Whitaker et al. 2012, ApJL, 754, L29