# FLEXION IN COSMOS

#### Measuring Higber Order Lensing Distortions

Malin Velander, Konrad Kuijken & Tim Schrabback



# WHY FLEXION?

- Flexion is the *gradient* of shear
- Describes local distortions when the shear is not constant across a source image
- Adds more detail to mass reconstruction so improves e.g.
  - substructure detection (e.g. Bacon et al 2006)
  - halo shape determination (e.g. Hawken & Bridle 2009)

## SHEAR



- $\mathcal{F}$ : F Flexion
  - $\gamma$ : Shear
  - $\mathcal{G}$ : G Flexion
- See e.g. Goldberg & Bacon 2005, Bacon et al 2006, Massey et al 2007



Bacon et al 2006

# **FFLEXION**



Bacon et al 2006

 $\mathcal{F}$ 

 $\mathcal{G}$ 

# **G FLEXION**



Bacon et al 2006

 $\mathcal{F}$ 

 $\mathcal{G}$ 

### MASS RECONSTRUCTION

Shear only

#### Flexions only Shear & Flexions



Bacon et al 2006

# THE MV PIPELINE

- Decompose galaxy
  image into a series of
  *shapelets* (e.g. Refregier 2003,
  Refregier & Bacon 2003, Kuijken 2006)
- Create shapelet model of sheared and flexed galaxy
- Fit observed image to model to find amount by which it is sheared and flexed



Velander et al (in prep.)

# FLASHES

### FLASHES: FLexion And SHEar Simulations

### Variations:

- Intrinsic galaxy ellipticity
- Galaxy profiles
- SNR
- PSF ellipticity

## FLASHES

#### STEP4/GREAT08-like

- 10000 galaxies per image
- One shear/flexion value across image
- Use STEP-parameterisation:
  - $\langle \gamma_i^{\text{measured}} \rangle \gamma_i^{\text{input}} = m_i \gamma_i^{\text{input}} + c_i$
  - m = multiplicative bias; c = additive bias

# FLASHES RESULTS

- Purple: shear
- Pink: F flexion
- Green: G flexion
- F flexion
  underestimated
  with a
  multiplicative bias
  of -0.2 at best



Velander et al (in prep.)

Malin Velander (Leiden)

# "GALAXY-GALAXY" SIMULATIONS

- STEP4-like "galaxygalaxy" simulations
- Central lens object has
  Sérsic index n = 1
- Gaussian sources placed in rings at evenly spaced distances from center
- SNR ~200
- PSF: Pseudo-Airy with 10% spikes



101.5

102

102.5

103

101

99.5

100

100.5

103.5

P1

Kuijken 2006

# GG SIMULATION RESULTS



Light from nearby bright objects strongly affects the densing signal!

# BRIGHT OBJECT REMOVAL

- Create Sérsic model of nearby object
- Subtract model from source postage stamp
- Remove nearby objects depending on brightness and distance from source



# GG SIMULATION CORRECTED RESULTS



Velander et al (in prep.)

# **COSMOS** ANALYSIS

- Shear/flexion from MV pipeline
- Parametric CTI
  correction as in
  Schrabback et al 2010
- PSF correction done using PCA as in Schrabback
- Photo-z's from Ilbert et al 2009
- Galaxy-galaxy signal



## **COSMOS RESULTS**

Velander et al (in prep.)



# ...AND THE G FLEXION



## **BOR EFFECT**



Velander et al (in prep.)

#### Malin Velander (Leiden)

# POWERLAW CONSTRAINTS

- Fit powerlaw to signals •  $\gamma = -Ad^{-n}$ •  $\mathcal{F} = (n-2)Ad^{-n-1}$ • Purple: shear  $(1-3\sigma)$
- Green: F flexion  $(1-3\sigma)$
- White: combined  $3\sigma$
- Prefer n < 1



Velander et al (in prep.)

## SUMMARY

- Flexion adds crucial information to halo shape measurements and substructure detection
- Galaxy-galaxy flexion has been detected in COSMOS but is still noisy and seems overestimated compared to shear
  - Could also indicate shear underestimation
- In future, try ground-based larger datasets (CFHTLS, RCS2, KiDS...)