Hierarchical clustering and the baryon distribution in galaxy clusters

Eric R. Tittley1* and H. M. P. Couchman2*

University of Western Ontario, Department of Physics and Astronomy London, Ontario, N6A 3K7, Canada

Accepted 2000 February 14. Received 2000 February 2; in original form 1999 November 30

A B S T R A C T
The baryon fraction of galaxy clusters in numerical simulations is found to be dependent on the cluster formation method. In all cases, the gas is antibiased compared with the dark matter. However, clusters formed hierarchically are found to be more depleted in baryons than clusters formed non-hierarchically. There is a depletion of 10 to 15 per cent for hierarchically formed clusters, while the depletion is less than 10 per cent for those clusters formed non-hierarchically. This difference is dependent on the mass of the clusters. The mean baryon enrichment profile for the hierarchically formed clusters shows an appreciable baryon enhancement around the virial radius not seen in the clusters formed without substructure. If this phenomenon applies to real clusters, it implies that determinations of the baryon fractions in clusters of galaxies require data extending beyond the virial radius of the clusters in order to achieve an unbiased value.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Since the early hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy clusters (Evrard 1990), the global distribution of the baryons has been of interest. In particular, the local enhancement or deficit of the baryons compared with the dark matter has profound implications. In particular, the local enhancement or deficit of the dark matter component and passing it to the gas.

However, the derivation of from the observations of clusters assumes that the dark matter and hot gas of the Universe is unknown in detail, variation among baryon fractions would assist in understanding the actual baryon fraction of the Universe.

However, there is an uncertainty in the actual amount of bias. The bias between the universal baryon fraction and that found in clusters cannot be measured directly but must be inferred from numerical simulations. The numerical simulations must assume a model for the Universe. Since the actual cosmogony of the Universe is unknown in detail, variation among baryon fractions found in different model Universes adds uncertainty. A better understanding of how this bias depends on cosmological models would assist in understanding the actual baryon fraction of the Universe.

In hierarchical clustering, the largest structures forming at a given time do so via the amalgamation of many smaller structures which have formed at an earlier time. This is owing to the form of the initial density perturbation spectrum in which small-scale perturbations have higher initial amplitudes than large-scale perturbations.
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perturbations. In contrast, non-hierarchical clustering involves structure formation from the collapse of large structures with smooth density distributions. The results of numerical simulations compared with observations support the theory that we live in a Universe in which structure is formed hierarchically. The degree to which the hierarchical nature affects the baryon distribution in galaxy clusters is not entirely clear. In this paper a comparison of the baryon fraction bias will be made using numerical simulations of galaxy clusters formed hierarchically and non-hierarchically. By using these extreme cases, the significance of hierarchical clustering itself will be determined. The analysis will concentrate not on the properties of individual clusters, but on the global mean properties of scaled quantities.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 the simulations are described. A brief description of the analysis methods are given in Section 3. The effect of hierarchical clustering on the baryon fraction is examined in Section 4. The results are discussed in Section 5.

2 SIMULATIONS

The simulations used for this study are the same as described in Tittley & Couchman (1999). They comprise a set of five high-resolution simulations in which hierarchical clustering was reduced or eliminated in four of the simulations via smoothing of the density perturbations in the initial conditions file. They comprise a set of five high-resolution simulations in which hierarchical clustering was reduced or eliminated in four of the simulations via smoothing of the density perturbations in the initial conditions file.

The simulations used for this study are the same as described in Tittley & Couchman (1999). They comprise a set of five high-resolution simulations in which hierarchical clustering was reduced or eliminated in four of the simulations via smoothing of the density perturbations in the initial conditions file. They comprise a set of five high-resolution simulations in which hierarchical clustering was reduced or eliminated in four of the simulations via smoothing of the density perturbations in the initial conditions file. They comprise a set of five high-resolution simulations in which hierarchical clustering was reduced or eliminated in four of the simulations via smoothing of the density perturbations in the initial conditions file. They comprise a set of five high-resolution simulations in which hierarchical clustering was reduced or eliminated in four of the simulations via smoothing of the density perturbations in the initial conditions file.

The simulations contained $2 \times 64^3$ particles, half of which were collisionless particles representative of the dark matter component, while the other half were collisional which represented a gas phase. The particles had masses of $9.27 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot$ for the dark matter and $1.03 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot$ for the gas. The total mass density is sufficient to cool the gas in the cluster core, in agreement with the cooling function, $F(k) \propto k^2$. It was normalized to set the variance on the scale of $8 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$ to $\sigma_8 = 0.935$.

The smoothing was done using a top-hat method for two of the simulations, and a low-bandpass filter for the other two. For the top-hat method, smoothing over radii of $3 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$ and $7 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$ was done. The frequency cut-offs were $2\pi(7 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc})$ and $2\pi(14 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc})$. Subsequent reference to these simulations will omit the $h^{-1}$ and $2\pi$ factors. The Hubble constant parameter, $h = 0.65$, was adopted for these simulations. The details of the simulations are given in Table 1.

The simulations contained $2 \times 64^3$ particles, half of which were collisionless particles representative of the dark matter component, while the other half were collisional which represented a gas phase. The particles had masses of $9.27 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot$ for the dark matter and $1.03 \times 10^{10} \, M_\odot$ for the gas. The total mass density is sufficient to produce a flat cosmology, without a cosmological constant.

The matter was evolved in a box with periodic boundary conditions on a scale of $40 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$ in comoving coordinates. The $N$-body AP$^3$M-SPH code, HYDRA (Couchman, Thomas & Pearce 1995) was used for all simulations. Two-body interactions were reduced by the use of gravitational softening. This was provided by the softening parameter, $e = 20 \, h^{-1} \, \text{kpc}$. Cooling was neglected. The cooling time for the bulk of the cluster gas is estimated to be well over the age of the clusters.

3 ANALYSIS

The same sample of clusters as selected in Tittley & Couchman (1999) was used here. The details of the sets of clusters for each simulation are given in Table 2. Clusters were selected by a tomographic deprojection method. For each cluster, masses and radii were calculated at overdensities of 200 and 500. The radius for an overdensity of 200, $R_{200}$, is the radius of a cluster interior to which the mean density is 200 times the background density, which for these simulations is the critical density, $\rho_c$. A similar definition holds for $R_{500}$. The mass interior to these radii are denoted $M_{200}$ and $M_{500}$ respectively.

Profiles of the dark matter and gas densities were calculated for each of the clusters. This was done by summing the mass contributions of the particles falling in exponentially separated radial bins centered on the clusters. The profiles were scaled by the approximate virial radius, $R_{200}$.

A lower limit to the size of the clusters was set by the requirement that each cluster, within the overdensity radius of $R_{200}$, have at least 300 gas particles and 300 dark matter particles. This ensures the densities are calculated correctly (Tittley & Couchman 1999).

Profiles of the dark matter and gas densities were calculated for each of the clusters. This was done by summing the mass contributions of the particles falling in exponentially separated radial bins centered on the clusters. The profiles were scaled by the approximate virial radius, $R_{200}$.

Mean density profiles for each simulations were then calculated from the profiles of the individual clusters in each simulation. For the calculation of the mean density profile, the individual cluster profiles were weighted by their respective mass, $M_{200}$. This weighting removes the bias towards the more plentiful low-mass clusters. However, for the density profiles, this weighting was found to have only a small effect, demonstrating the lack of dependency on mass for the profiles.

4 BARYON FRACTION

4.1 The concentration parameter, $Y$

If we define $Y_{200}$ as the gas fraction in a spherical region of overdensity 200 normalized to the cosmic value, then we have (White et al. 1993)

$$Y_{200} = \frac{Y_{\text{gas}}}{M_{\text{gas}} + M_{\text{dark}}} \frac{\Omega_{\text{m}}}{\Omega_b}.$$  

Similarly, we may define $Y(r)$ as the normalized gas fraction in

| Table 1. Properties of the simulations. Given are the effective smoothing radius and whether the data, after smoothing, were renormalized to $\sigma_8 = 0.935$. |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Smoothing method               | $r_{\text{smooth}}$ (h$^{-1}$ Mpc) | Renormalized to $\sigma_8$ |
| unsmoothed                     | 0        | N.A.     |
| 3 Mpc top-hat                  | 3        | Yes      |
| $(7 \, \text{Mpc})^{-1}$ k-cutoff | 7        | Yes      |
| 7 Mpc top-hat                  | 7        | No       |
| $(14 \, \text{Mpc})^{-1}$ k-cutoff | 14       | No       |

| Table 2. Results of the cluster search. Given for each run are the number of clusters found, $N_{\text{clusters}}$, and the range of masses of the clusters, $M_{\text{min}}$ and $M_{\text{max}}$. |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
| $N_{\text{clusters}}$          | $M_{\text{min}}[10^{15} M_\odot]$ | $M_{\text{max}}[10^{15} M_\odot]$ |
| unsmoothed                     | 100      | 0.05     | 1.52     |
| 3 Mpc top-hat                  | 48       | 0.05     | 2.85     |
| $(7 \, \text{Mpc})^{-1}$ k-cutoff | 48       | 0.05     | 1.75     |
| 7 Mpc top-hat                  | 8        | 0.03     | 1.95     |
| $(14 \, \text{Mpc})^{-1}$ k-cutoff | 6        | 0.15     | 2.27     |

a shell of radius \( r \). Here, \( r \) is replaced by the dimensionless parameter \( r = \frac{R}{d} \); since radial profiles of both the dark matter and gas densities are characterized by the virial radius.

### 4.2 Variation of \( Y \) on cluster mass

The values of \( Y_{200} \), when plotted versus \( M_{200} \) (Fig. 1), support the values found in Evrard (1997) and Eke, Navarro & Frenk (1998) of \( Y_{200} = 0.85 \) to 0.90 if the sample is restricted to the largest clusters formed hierarchically.

For the lower mass clusters, the clusters in the unsmoothed model have values for \( Y_{200} \) that range from 0.92 down to 0.74. The mean value does not change with mass; it is the dispersion which increases marginally as mass decreases. When the sample is divided evenly by \( M_{200} \) (50 clusters in each half), the lower mass clusters have \( (Y_{200}) = 0.83 \pm 0.04 \) while the higher mass clusters have \( (Y_{200}) = 0.82 \pm 0.03 \).

For the smoothed models, the trend is for \( Y_{200} \) to decrease with mass with little change in the dispersion. Using the same mass as in the hierarchical case to divide the sample of clusters (68 in the high-mass sample, and 42 in the low-mass sample), the concentration parameter varies from \( (Y_{200}) = 0.87 \pm 0.03 \) to \( (Y_{200}) = 0.91 \pm 0.03 \) between the low-mass and the high-mass samples.

### 4.3 Baryon concentration profiles

Since it is found that both the dark matter and gas density profiles scale with the virial radius, it stands to reason that the radial profile of the baryon concentration should also scale with radius. Numerical simulations of galaxy clusters using a 1D Lagrangian code indicate that this is not true. Knight & Ponman (1997) reports that \( f_b \) varies by a factor of about 2 at a given scaled radius between clusters that differ in mass by two orders of magnitude, with the less massive cluster having the smaller baryon fraction. This trend is consistent with the variation in \( Y_{200} \) with the mass of the cluster seen for the clusters formed from smoothed initial conditions. However, since this factor is comparable to the cluster-to-cluster variation, it is justified once again to look at the mean radial profile of all the clusters in a model.

The baryon fraction profiles vary considerably for those clusters formed in the simulation with hierarchical clustering from those formed otherwise (Fig. 2, upper panel) particularly around the virial radius. The baryon fraction is enhanced in a much deeper region \( 0 < r/R_{200} < 10 \) in the hierarchical case than in the case with smoothed initial conditions \( 0 < r/R_{200} < 4 \), and the enhancement is almost 4 times greater. In both cases, the peak in the baryon enrichment occurs just beyond the virial radius. Towards the more central regions, the enrichment in the hierarchical clusters drops off slightly more steeply.

The robustness of this result to the resolution of the simulation was explored. The same sets of initial conditions as were used in the unsmoothed and \( 7h^{-1}\text{Mpc} \) top-hat smoothed simulations were degraded in resolution by a half spatially, which is a factor of 8 in mass-resolution. The degradation was performed by averaging velocities and positions of the nearest eight particles to the new particle position while summing their masses. This method clips the high-frequency power in the density perturbations of the higher resolution initial conditions at frequencies above the Nyquist frequency of the low-resolution simulation. The degradation produces initial conditions with \( 2^{13} \) particles. It should be noted, however, that the lower resolution simulations are more susceptible to two-body heating of the gas particles which mimics shocking.
The low-resolution simulations produce quantitatively similar results as the principal simulations. The clusters are deficient in gas in the interior with an excess at and beyond the virial radius. The baryon concentration parameter rises more steeply for the unsmoothed data set. In the interior $0.2 < r/R_{200} < 0.6$, the concentrations are $Y(200) = 0.82$ and 0.86 for the smoothed and unsmoothed conditions respectively. At and beyond the virial radius $1 < r/R_{200} < 3$, the values rise to $Y(200) = 1.41$ and 1.27. All values agree with the principal data set, except for $Y(200)$ in the outer region of the smoothed data set. It is here that two-body heating is most significant, which may explain the excess in this region.

The cumulative baryon fraction is closest to that measured by the observations. Since this averages the baryon concentration within spheres, it would be expected to reduce the discrepancies between the various data sets, which is indeed what is found (Fig. 2, lower panel). The clusters in the hierarchical model are generally more depleted in baryons than those in the smoothed models, in agreement with the results in Section 4.2. The mean profile for the unsmoothed model compares well those of the simulated clusters discussed in Eke et al. (1998). Those are simulated for a low-density Universe.

It must be noted that there are large deviations in these profiles among the many clusters in the hierarchical scenario. These mean profiles represent trends. For the clusters formed non-hierarchically, however, the baryon profiles are fairly consistent among clusters.

Interior to $1.5R_{200}$, the mean Y profile for the unsmoothed model may be fitted by $Y(r) = 0.67 \pm 0.03 + (0.52 \pm 0.05)r/R_{200}$, non-cumulative. For the mean of the profiles for the non-hierarchically formed clusters, the fit takes a shallower form, $Y(r) = 0.76 \pm 0.02 + (0.30 \pm 0.07)r/R_{200}$.

5 DISCUSSION

Using a series of simulations in which clusters were formed either hierarchically and non-hierarchically, the relevance of hierarchical structure formation to the baryon distribution in clusters was explored. Non-hierarchical clustering was achieved by smoothing the initial density distribution.

It is found that the baryons are antibiased in clusters of galaxies, and that this biasing is dependent on the presence of smoothing in the initial conditions. The bias parameter $Y = 0.85$ for the hierarchically formed clusters. For the clusters formed from smoothed initial conditions, $Y = 0.92$, indicating less of an antibias. For the non-hierarchically formed clusters, the normalized baryon fraction is dependent also on the mass of the cluster, which is not observed for the hierarchically formed clusters.

In all cases, the baryon fraction was found to increase from the centre of the clusters, outward. Interior to $0.1R_{200}$, the normalized factor spans $Y = 0.5$ to 0.7. We determined that the baryon profile fraction, as parametrized by the normalized fraction, is steeper for hierarchically formed clusters. For these, it was found that $f_b \propto (0.52 \pm 0.05)r$ interior to $1.5R_{200}$. For non-hierarchically formed clusters, the profiles were shallow, regardless of the degree of initial smoothing, with a mean dependency of $f_b \propto (0.30 \pm 0.07)r$. The baryon fractions peak between 1 and 2 virial radii. For the clusters formed hierarchically, the baryons ‘pile up’ in a deep region spanning $0.7 < r/R_{200} < 10$. This implies that measurements of the baryon fraction of clusters are sensitive to the radius out to which the baryon content is integrated.

Baryon fraction profiles determined from observations of clusters via the deprojection of the gas density (White et al. 1997) support the result that the baryon fractions increase with increasing radius (Markevitch & Vikhlinin 1997). However, the profiles are often shallower than those found here. White & Fabian (1995) finds from a sample of 19 clusters that the cumulative measurement of $f_b$ increases with radius, following roughly the $f_b = 0.06R$ relation with $R$ in Mpc. Similarly, White et al. (1997) finds a fit of $f_b = (0.12 \pm 0.04)R^{0.72 \pm 0.3}$ for a sample of 28 clusters without cooling flows. With cooling flows, a higher central value for $f_b$ and a shallower profile are reported, as would be expected. The fractional slopes of both these fits are steeper than that of the mean $Y$ profile of all the models. This is probably due to the lack of cooling in the simulations, which would deposit a concentrated amount of gas near the cores of the clusters. This gas would represent a small fraction of the total mass of the halo gas, but would elevate the baryon fraction in the interior. This should not greatly affect the results at radii near the virial radius, since the cooled gas would constitute a small fraction of the total gas mass at that point.

These results indicate that the observed baryon fractions in galaxy clusters lead to underestimates of the universal baryon fraction by 5 to 20 per cent, and that this percentage is dependent on the cosmology. The antibiasing of the gas is greatest in the hierarchical run, consistent with the picture of Pearce et al. (1994) in which the bias is due to transfer of energy from the dark matter to the gas during merging. Since cooling flows are not created in these simulations, the true bias factor is not well established. However, cooling flows will only alter the baryon fractions in the inner radii, since the total mass cooled is only on the order of $10^{12} M_\odot$ or less. Ultimately, this result exacerbates the baryon overdensity problem in galaxy clusters. The variation in the enrichment of baryons with distance from the cluster centres also makes measurements of $f_b$ problematic. It is crucial that the cluster be observed to radii of up to two virial radii to reduce the error to less than 10 per cent.

Observations of the baryon fraction in clusters will be hampered by this biased distribution of gas in clusters. Measurements must be made to more than twice the virial radius, sampling regions for which accurate densities of both mass and gas are difficult. This uneven distribution is not as significant if there is any degree of smoothing, implying that any attempt to formulate a correction factor will be dependent on the cosmology at the 10 per cent level. Fortunately, this is at the present level of measurement error.
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