Exploring the Quasar Population in the Near-Infrared



Copyright 2000 CFHT

Natasha Maddox with Paul Hewett Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge



Motivation
Ingredients
Quasar host galaxy magnitudes
Results
Summary

Motivation:

•More quasar surveys?

•Observations range from radio to X-ray

Important question: do all observations agree?

Reddened Quasars:

•Dust-obscuration of shorter, optical wavelengths

Definitely exist, but how many compared to unobscured?



Goal:

Make predictions for NIR based on unobscured quasar population at blue wavelengths
Provide context for existing and new observations (e.g. 2MASS, UKIDSS)
Assist planning of future surveys focussed on red objects

Ingredients:

Quasar Luminosity Function (QLF)
Quasar Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)
Quasar Host Galaxy type, distribution

QLF:

• From 2df+6df Quasar Redshift Surveys • $16 < m_{bJ} < 20.85, 0.4 < z < 2.1$ > Fair representation of blue, unobscured quasar population Quasar SED:

•Underlying power-law continuum with emission line spectrum:

 $F(\nu) \propto \nu^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = -0.3$ for $912 \le \lambda \le 12000$ A and $\alpha = -2.4$ for $12000 \le \lambda \le 25000$ A

$$\Phi(M,z) = \frac{\Phi(M^*)}{10^{0.4(\alpha+1)(M-M^*)} + 10^{0.4(\beta+1)(M-M^*)}}$$

Quasar Host Galaxies:

•Quasars are located in the centres of galaxies •Quasars much brighter than host galaxies at shorter wavelengths, but galaxies will be important in NIR flux-limited samples •Want to add host galaxy flux to that of quasars by hand in simulations •Need to determine galaxy type and relationship between M_{gal} and M_{qso} , if there is one •Many imaging studies, all find hosts of bright quasars are massive ellipticals

Galaxy Fraction from Composite Spectra:

Significant starlight from host galaxies appearing in quasar spectra
Use stellar absorption lines in composite quasar spectra to determine

galaxy fraction



•Parameterise the fraction of the total flux that is coming from the galaxy as:

$$R_{gq} = \frac{F_{galaxy}}{F_{galaxy+quasar}} \text{ with } 0 < R_{gq} < 1$$

Host Galaxies (II):

•Croom et al. (2002) use 2QZ and to find:

$$L_{gal} \propto L_{qso}^{\gamma}$$

 $M_{gal} = A + \gamma M_{qso}$
with $\gamma = 0.42$

The Magorrian relation would suggest that γ=1
Galaxy formation simulations (by Lidz et al., among others) are suggesting that γ=0
Need normalisation constants, A
>Use SDSS quasar photometry

PSF Magnitudes:

•Recommended for quasars •Should measure only nuclear component •If assume increasing host galaxy flux will cause redder colour...



Significant host galaxy
 flux contributing to the
 PSF magnitudes of quasars

Petrosian Magnitudes:

Measures quasar light and light from the host galaxy
Position on (g-r), redshift plane gives estimate of R_{gq}



▹From R_{gq} can separate M_{gal} and M_{qso}

Petrosian Magnitudes:

•~30% of the sample no longer have quasars that meet the absolute magnitude criterion •Left with welldefined sample of quasars, complete with the magnitudes of their host galaxies



Quasar and Galaxy Distribution:

 Know the distribution of quasars with a given galaxy fraction •Distribution of galaxy magnitudes and normalisation constants, A, to be used in the simulations



► Have empirically determined distribution of galaxies for known sample of quasars at *z*~0.2

Combine the ingredients:

- •Start with QLF defined in b_J
- Choose γ =0.42 and distribution of *A*'s
- •Add host galaxy flux to that of the quasars at each redshift
- •Transform the whole thing to the survey passband (i (7500Å), Y (1µm), K(2.2µm), ...)
- Impose restrictions (M_{tot}(b_J)<-22, R_{gq}<0.8)
 Count the quasars

Results:

• Adding host galaxy flux to quasars changes shape and normalisation of QLF, particularly at low redshifts and faint quasar magnitudes



Number-Redshift: Note: Results are for 1 deg²

•Number-redshift counts significantly increased in NIR due to addition of host galaxy flux



Number-Redshift:

•Number-redshift counts in deep NIR survey affected to high redshift



Colour Selection:

 Colour selection for input catalogue •For K band samples, use K-excess, or KX selection •Regular and dust reddened quasars stay away from stellar locus •KX selection still ok for quasar+galaxy colours



Colour Selection:

•UKIDSS Science Verification data •K<17, 10 deg^2 Optically selected quasars appearing where expected AAOmega proposal submitted to determine nature of red objects



Data courtesy of Steve Weatherley

Summary:

Predict unobscured quasar number-redshift distributions in NIR using information from optical surveys

>Number counts increased by 2x in NIR

- >Use predictions as reference for existing and future surveys
- Empirical determination of distribution of quasar host galaxies from SDSS quasar catalogue

Important to use correct shape of quasar SED
 Galaxy SED not important
 Morphological (R_{gq}) selection can be important



Match to colours of bright subsample of SDSS quasars

Number-Redshift:



Quasar SED:



Importance of SED power-law slope longward of 1.2µm
Low redshift number counts increase by factor of 3



2MASS Quasars from SDSS DR3:



<u>Different γ's:</u>

For γ = 0, 1, 0.42
For a deep, NIR survey, high redshift counts significantly affected
Potential to discriminate between M_{gal}, M_{qso} relations



Different Morphological Selection:

Makes very little difference at short wavelengths
Makes significant difference at low redshifts



Quasar Distribution:

 Histogram gives the distribution of quasars with a given galaxy fraction Distribution of galaxy magnitudes and normalisation constants, A, to be used in the simulations



Galaxy Distribution:

Have empirically determined distribution of galaxies for known sample of quasars
Gives quasar-galaxy luminosity relationship



•Quasar magnitudes corresponding to these are $-24.75 < M_g < -21.5$

SDSS Quasar and Galaxy Magnitudes:

•Quasar magnitudes don't span large enough range to determine M_{gal}, M_{qso} relation Croom relation fits data acceptably



Totals (number per deg²):

Band	Quasar Only	Quasar+Galaxy
16< <mark>b</mark> J<20.85	52.4	52.9
16 <i<20.5< td=""><td>63.7</td><td>66.1</td></i<20.5<>	63.7	66.1
15.5< <mark>Y</mark> <20.5	81.7	88.1
12< <mark>K_{2MASS}<15</mark>	0.3	0.5
14< <mark>K</mark> <18.5	55.0	70.5