



## MPhys Advanced Cosmology 2010/2011

### Problem set 1

(1) The Robertson-Walker metric can be written as

$$c^2 d\tau^2 = c^2 dt^2 - R^2(t) (dr^2 + S_k^2(r) d\psi^2),$$

where  $S_k(r) = \sin r$  ( $k = +1$ ),  $r$  ( $k = 0$ ), or  $\sinh r$  ( $k = -1$ ) and  $d\psi$  is the angular separation between the two events under consideration.

(a) Derive the radial equation of motion for a photon. Explain what is meant by the terms ‘particle horizon’ (= ‘horizon’, when used without qualification) and ‘event horizon’, and use the Friedmann equation to write these as integrals over redshift.

(b) Calculate the horizon size as a function of redshift for a flat universe containing matter and radiation; the integral is easier if you change variable from  $z$  to  $a(z) = 1/(1+z)$ . Show that the horizon size at matter-radiation equality is  $(16.0/\Omega_m h^2)$  Mpc.

(c) Given that the current horizon radius in a flat vacuum-dominated model is approximately  $(2c/H_0)\Omega_m^{-0.4}$ , calculate the angle subtended today by the horizon at last scattering ( $z = 1100$ ).

(d) Write down the integral for the event horizon in a flat universe containing matter and vacuum energy; argue that in general the integral converges. For pure de Sitter space, show that the event horizon with respect to  $a = 0$  diverges in comoving length units, but that the event horizon with respect to events at a time  $t$  always takes the same value in proper length units.

(2) The Friedmann equation can be written as

$$\dot{R}^2 - \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho R^2 = -kc^2.$$

Show that in the limit  $\Omega \rightarrow 1$ , it is possible not only to neglect  $k$  in the Friedmann equation, but also to use the form of the Robertson-Walker metric in which the comoving part is uncurved (consider holding the local observables  $H$  and  $\rho$  fixed but increasing  $R$  without limit). Thus verify the connection between cosmological dynamics and geometry in the  $k = 0$  case.

(3) From the definition  $1 + z = 1/a(t)$ , we can deduce  $dz/dt = -(1 + z)H(z)$ . But here,  $t$  means look-back time: the change in cosmological time coordinate along a photon trajectory. But if we watch a distant object, its observed redshift will change as the expansion of the universe progresses. Show that

$$\frac{dz_{\text{obs}}}{dt_{\text{obs}}} = (1 + z)H_0 - H(z).$$

For flat vacuum-dominated models, discuss the sign and magnitude of the effect. Apart from sheer instrumental precision, why might this be hard to detect?

(4) Show that adiabatic expansion of a perfect gas yields a dependence between temperature and scale factor of  $T(a) \propto 1/a$  for a radiation-dominated gas, but  $T(a) \propto 1/a^2$  for a nonrelativistic plasma. In practice, the temperature of the cosmic plasma follows that of the microwave background until a redshift about  $z \sim 100$ . Consider the heating of the plasma by Thomson scattering, and use the concept of freeze-out to explain why this is so.